

CITY OF OLNEY
CITY COUNCIL MEETING
MARCH 10, 2014

AGENDA #1 “CALL TO ORDER” The March 10, 2014 meeting of the Olney City Council was called to order at 7:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers of the Olney City Hall located at 300 S. Whittle Avenue, Olney, Illinois, with Mayor Ray Vaughn presiding.

AGENDA #2 “PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG-PRAYER” Council members and visitors joined in the pledge of allegiance to the flag. Steve Wingert led the group in a prayer.

AGENDA #3 “ROLL CALL” The following Council members were present: John McLaughlin, Barb Thomas, Brad Brown, Bob Ferguson and Ray Vaughn. Also present were City Manager Larry Taylor, City Treasurer Chuck Sanders, City Engineer Roger Charleston, City Clerk Belinda Henton and Deputy City Clerk Kelsie Sterchi.

AGENDA #4 “PRESENTATION OF CONSENT AGENDA”

- 4-A Approve Minutes of Council Meeting from February 24, 2014
- 4-B Approve and Authorize Payment of Accounts Payable February 25, 2014-Pooled Cash \$54,645.16, Manual Pooled Cash \$7,576.54, EDA \$36.82 and Tourism \$2,000.00
- 4-C Raffle License-ACS Relay for Life of Richland Co.

AGENDA #5 “REMOVAL OF ITEMS FROM CONSENT AGENDA” No items were requested for removal from the consent agenda.

AGENDA #6 “CONSIDERATION OF CONSENT AGENDA” Councilman McLaughlin moved to approve the items on the consent agenda, seconded by Councilwoman Thomas. A majority affirmative voice vote was received to approve the consent agenda.

AGENDA #7 “CONSIDERATION OF ITEMS REMOVED FROM THE CONSENT AGENDA” No consideration was necessary since no items were removed from the consent agenda.

AGENDA #8 “PUBLIC COMMENTS/PRESENTATIONS”

8-A “Android Mobile Telecommunication Law Enforcement Processing on Location of Arrest and Traffic Stops Etc” Brian O’Neill, 818 E. Main, began by showing a few pieces of technological equipment that he claimed was not available in any store. He proposed that the City’s law enforcement officers use similar technology. He mentioned that the technology could be used during police processing procedures to improve time efficiency. He showed a piece of technology that had a retail price of \$85 of which would accomplish some law enforcement tasks

and reject fake fingerprints. The technology he recommended would utilize a Cloud system that could also be used in the law enforcement vehicles.

Mr. O'Neill then offered to teach citizens how to use the kind of special technology he was in possession of. In his opinion, the knowledge would bring businesses to Olney.

8-B "Tourism Grant Application" The White Squirrel Cruisers Car Club requested tourism grant funds in the amount of \$2,000 for their annual car show. The club requested funds in 2013 for the same amount and \$1,000 was granted. In the past few years, the club's membership has been struggling, but in 2013 they were able to bring in 101 participants for the car show and they also netted a small profit. City Manager Taylor recommended to approve the application. To be clear, Mayor Vaughn asked if the approval of the tourism grant would in any way affect the City's support of the IllinoisSouth Tourism Bureau. City Manager Taylor indicated that the approval would have no factor on the City's relationship with IllinoisSouth.

Councilman Ferguson moved to approve the \$2,000 tourism grant to the White Squirrel Cruisers Car Club, seconded by Councilman McLaughlin. A majority affirmative voice vote was received.

AGENDA #9 "PRESENTATION OF ORDINANCES. RESOLUTIONS. ETC."

9-A "Vacation of Alley Adjoining 720 E. Butler" Mark Keiffer, 720 E. Butler St., was present and presented to the Council his request for partial vacation of the alley adjoining his properties. Mr. Keiffer's opinion was that the vacation would enhance his property value. The alley dissected through the middle of his two properties and he requested that the vacation of the alley only be for the portion that touched his property lines. Should the vacation of that portion be granted and he acquire the property, Mr. Keiffer planned to install a gate to allow for access to utility easements. On that note, Mr. Keiffer included that he would be willing to open that gate to allow through access for his neighbors.

Councilman McLaughlin had taken a drive past the location and, to him, the alley in question did not even look like an alley; more so a yard. Mr. Keiffer had been taking care of the alley for the past 13 years by mowing, seeding and weeding.

City Manager Taylor said that if the City vacated that portion of the alleyway, the City would also need to reserve an easement. Mr. Keiffer would not be allowed to build any permanent structures over that easement.

Mayor Vaughn addressed the audience asking if anyone present was in opposition of the request. Regina Mitchell of the Marlin & Anita Spillman Trust stood before the Council. She explained that a property the Trust was trying to sell had a two car garage that was accessed by

way of the alley. There have already been showings at the property and potential buyers were under the impression that the alley was accessible from the north or the south. The Trust was also needing to clear the property of personal property. To clear these items out, the Trust would be in need of an 18 foot trailer for hauling. While they could enter the alley off of Laurel Street, backing the trailer out onto Laurel would be too difficult. The Trust requested that the Council put the request on hold until their property is sold. Past that exchange, the vacation could again be brought up with the new owner.

Councilwoman Thomas made the comment that the alley looked as if it had not been used in quite some time. Mrs. Mitchell explained that they had not used the alley in the recent cold months, but had used the alley on numerous occasions during the fall of 2013. They are again waiting for the warmer months to access the alley further to clear their property.

The alley was 25 feet in width and was considered an alley because it was originally platted that way. If vacated, the alley would become property, divided equally, between adjoining property owners.

Another member of the Spillman Trust mentioned that about six or seven years ago, Mr. Keiffer scooped away all gravel that the City had placed on the alley and planted grass. Mr. Keiffer felt that the gravel was laid closer to 10 years ago and that he never made any changes to the alley.

Mayor Vaughn indicated he was in favor of vacating the portion of the alley that was adjoining Mr. Keiffer's property. The north half could still be left open. Councilman Brown agreed with the Mayor to a certain degree, but felt that the Spillman Trust's request to wait until their property sold did not seem out of the question.

Councilman McLaughlin included that the City had seemingly not taken any interest in maintaining the condition of the alley. Because of this, he did not see a problem with continuing to vacate the alley since the City was not maintaining it. Councilman Ferguson then offered his opinion that limiting access to a resident's parking, from either entrance, was not ideal.

The Spillman Trust went further and presented a grievance that oftentimes they were unable to drive through the alley because Mr. Keiffer continuously parked his vehicles in the alley.

Mayor Vaughn directly asked Councilwoman Thomas if she was in favor of closing the south half of the alley. While the Councilwoman understood both sides of the argument, she felt that since Mr. Keiffer had been the one to maintain the alley for such a long period of time, she would be inclined to favor closing the south half. Councilwoman Thomas then ventured to see if a compromise could be found between Mr. Keiffer and the Spillman Trust. She asked Mr.

Keiffer if he would be willing to open the gate to allow through access for members of the Spillman Trust. Mr. Keiffer said he certainly would.

Mayor Vaughn made a motion to table the topic for three months to allow more time for the Spillman Trust to sell their property, seconded by Councilman McLaughlin. A majority affirmative voice vote was received.

9-B “Resolution: Accept Bid for East Fork Lake Spillway Drain and Drain Replacement Project” The Council was provided with a copy of a proposed resolution to accept the single bid from Dolls, Inc., for the East Fork Lake Spillway New Drain and Drain Repair project. Water Plant and Park Department Supervisor Frank Bradley was present to answer any questions. Doll’s, Inc., bid the project at \$5,780. City Manager Taylor recommended approval from the Council.

Mr. Bradley explained that the project would take seepage from the dam, take it down the spillway and discharge from the end of the spillway. There was also an underground drainage pile that had failed and created a sinkhole along the spillway channel.

Councilman Ferguson mentioned that this project was one that the Department of Natural Resources recommended.

Councilman Ferguson moved to approve Resolution 2014-R-09, seconded by Mayor Vaughn. A majority affirmative voice vote was received.

9-C “Resolution: Authorize Agreement for Voluntary Residential Curbside Recycling with Wilson Metals” The Council was provided with a copy of a proposed resolution to enter into an agreement with Wilson Metals to conduct the City’s residential curbside recycling services. City Manager Taylor noticed a copy of the actual agreement was missing from the Council packet. Deputy City Clerk Sterchi exited the Council Chambers at 7:32 p.m. to retrieve the copies. Mayor Vaughn tabled item 9-C and progressed to item 9-D until her return.

Discussion resumed at 7:43 p.m.

The Council was in possession of a proposed agreement with Wilson Metals for curbside recycling services. The agreement was a hybrid based on Secure Processors’ and Republic Services’ provisions. The days of pickup were still under discussion. A copy of the agreement was e-mailed to Mr. Wilson and Mr. Wilson quickly called City Manager Taylor asking if the City was ready for him to sign.

Mayor Vaughn commended City Manager Taylor for making the agreement customer friendly for the citizens of Olney. Because the City would still provide the billing for residential pickup, the City would also be taking calls in reference to Wilson Metals services.

Councilman McLaughlin was curious what would happen to the City's part-time employees who currently handled the curbside recycling. City Manager Taylor explained that equipment such as the truck and trailer would be easily used within the Public Works Departments. The employees could also be used in other areas such as the brush dump, Street Department or otherwise.

City Manager Taylor also pointed out a provision on the second page where it was stated that Wilson Metals would also provide recycling pickup for City Hall, Fire Department and Police Department. Pickup would take place every week except for the Friday before Christmas until January 2, due to Wilson Metals' holiday schedule.

Councilman Ferguson wanted clarification on whether or not appliances and electronics were acceptable for Wilson Metals' curbside recycling. The Mayor and City Manager Taylor replied that those items would be accepted at curbside once Mr. Wilson attained his proper licensing. Any additional charges for item pickup would need to be collected from Wilson Metals; the City would only collect the \$3.50 per month.

Councilman McLaughlin moved to approve Resolution 2014-R-10, seconded by Councilwoman Thomas. A majority affirmative voice vote was received.

9-D "Discussion: Clearwave Communications" The Council was provided with a copy of Clearwave Communications' proposed service plans along with five Clearwave Communications reviews.

City Manager Taylor reviewed the proposed service plans and highlighted the anticipated annual savings of \$1,331.68 with a five year service contract. The reviews sheet detailed area businesses who recently switched their services to Clearwave. Some of these businesses had internet services only.

One of the businesses indicated they did have some trouble during the switch over, however it was unclear whether it was a Clearwave issue or a Cell One issue. The Council's opinion was that saving \$110.97 per month was not worth the risk. The risk became elevated when departments such as the Fire and Police Departments are involved.

Mayor Vaughn moved to deny the Clearwave Communications proposal, seconded by Councilman McLaughlin. A majority affirmative voice vote was received.

9-E “Discussion: Liquor License Classifications” The Council was provided with language to be considered involving an additional class of liquor license, as well as a suggested limitation guideline for number of liquor licenses authorized. This document was created by City Manager Taylor. City Manager Taylor mentioned that liquor license renewals would begin May 15, 2014. If any changes to the Liquor Code were desired by renewal, City Manager Taylor requested to know before that time. “Class V” would be businesses of which their main source of income was video gaming and secondary income would be from the sale of alcohol. The Class V license would clearly separate from Class A, which was what video gaming focused businesses were currently using.

Councilman Ferguson asked what other communities have a video gaming only license classification. City Manager Taylor was only aware of one community. Mayor Vaughn felt that categorizing video gaming establishments with restaurants or taverns would be inaccurate. He would also prefer there to be a limit on granted liquor licenses for video gaming establishments. Mayor Vaughn then referred to the Council packet where there was a listing of video gaming tax revenue. The number of terminals had almost doubled, but the amount played had remained static. He wondered if the City was already reaching a saturation point with the 35 terminals. Councilwoman Thomas agreed.

Councilman Ferguson asked if the City could limit the number of video gaming terminals inside City limits. City Manager Taylor indicated that was not an option. The City could limit the number of establishments with liquor licenses, but the number of terminals was dictated by the State Gaming Board.

Mayor Vaughn wanted to see more requirements in place specific to Class V. He requested more time to look at such specifications and tabled the discussion until the next City Council meeting.

AGENDA #10 “REPORTS FROM ELECTED AND APPOINTED OFFICIALS”

10-A “City Manager - Status Report” The Council was provided with a Status Report from City Manager Taylor. City Manager Taylor mentioned that the North Street Sanitary Pump Station Replacement project had contracts signed and returned to Haier Plumbing. The planned start date would be for late April or early May for completion by October. Information was still needed from the State regarding the DCEO grant for Main Street.

Mayor Vaughn dismissed the Council for a break at 7:50 p.m.

Mayor Vaughn resumed the meeting at 7:55 p.m.

AGENDA #11 “2014/2015 BUDGET DISCUSSIONS”

11-A “Water Fund” The Council was provided with a copy of the powerpoint presentation outlining the proposed budget for fiscal year 2014-2015 for the Water Plant and Water Distribution. City Treasurer Sanders wanted to comment on two specific items regarding revenue. First was that utility revenue of \$1,470,000 for 2014-2015 was a proposed dollar amount of which would not include any rate increase. Water and sewer rate change discussion would be brought up at a later time during the meeting.

Secondly, City Manager Taylor wanted to point out the East Main Watermain grant. Seventy-five thousand dollars was received during the 2013-2014 fiscal year and \$225,000 budgeted for the 2014-2015 fiscal year. The \$75,000 was an early payment received from the grant. City Treasurer Sanders did not anticipate receiving any more funds before the end of the 2013-2014 fiscal year. It was possible that there could be additional disbursements for the 2013-2014 year.

City Treasurer Sanders then made the Council aware that Water Distribution Supervisor Tom Umfleet and Water Plant Supervisor Frank Bradley were present to answer any questions.

Mayor Vaughn wondered if part-time employees were utilized in either the Water or Sewer Plants. Mr. Bradley replied that part-time employees were not currently used at the Water Plant. Mr. Umfleet indicated that part-time employees were used within Water Distribution. The \$277,000 in the Permanent Employees line item for year 2013-2014 was a reflection of all full time employees of the Water Plant, according to City Manager Taylor. Water Distribution would be discussed later.

Councilman Ferguson asked if any more information was available regarding potential changes to insurance rates. City Manager Taylor did not have any more information at the time.

Councilman Ferguson wondered what the annual software maintenance fee included. Mr. Bradley replied that the line item was for utility billing software. City Treasurer Sanders also added that the City did pay an annual maintenance fee to Incode, the software company.

Councilman McLaughlin pointed out that Other Insurance seemed to have doubled since 2012. City Treasurer Sanders thought the increase could have originated from property insurance increases, including earthquake coverage. City Manager Taylor mentioned the total increase for the earthquake insurance was about \$17,000. That increase was standard across the insurance market.

Councilman McLaughlin asked if Postage was for the mailing of bills. Mr. Bradley indicated a majority of that amount was for water bills and late notices. Councilman

McLaughlin followed up by asking if residents had the option to opt out of paper mailing and instead receive electronic bills sent to their e-mail. He figured if a third of Olney residents chose the electronic option, the City could save a vast amount in postage. City Clerk Henton mentioned that the option had been looked into a few years ago and the fee to upgrade Incode for that capability was close to \$10,000. Councilman McLaughlin felt that even though the \$10,000 was a sizeable fee, the electronic option could potentially pay for itself in about two years.

Mayor Vaughn wanted to know what the plan was for the Capital Reserve Set Aside. City Manager Taylor said that the original plan was to budget some of the funds for an air scour system (\$55,000) and aeration tower (\$200,000). The Capital Reserve Set Aside could be used for those purchases or can be used elsewhere. The current amount in reserve for the Water Department at the end of February was \$1.9 million of unrestricted funds. There was \$160,000 in designated funds for the painting of a water tower. There was \$350,000 of restricted funds.

Councilman McLaughlin asked what the appropriate available amount would be in other communities within their Water Departments. City Treasurer Sanders replied that in past discussions, it seemed that having enough funds to support six months worth of expenses would be necessary. The Councilman wondered if the \$250,000 budgeted for Capital Reserve Set Aside funds could be used elsewhere since there was already \$1.9 million of unrestricted funds. City Treasurer Sanders explained that the five year capital plan discussions indicated some expensive disbursements could be on the horizon.

City Treasurer Sanders went on to the Water Distribution presentation. Mayor Vaughn asked Mr. Umfleet how many water line breaks happened during the winter. Mr. Umfleet estimated a couple of dozen. The Mayor then asked what Mr. Umfleet felt the health grade of the City's water distribution system was. Mr. Umfleet replied that he felt it was in the "D" range however, it was his understanding, that may be the average grade of the entire nation as far as underground infrastructure.

Councilman Ferguson noticed a large increase in maintenance of water lines, hydrants and valves. Mr. Umfleet confirmed that there are hydrants and valves that are in need of replacement.

City Treasurer Sanders again mentioned under the Revenue slide that \$225,000 was expected next fiscal year from the grant used for the East Main Watermain Replacement project. The Treasurer felt that \$250,000 of the projected \$310,000 could still need to be disbursed this fiscal year.

City Treasurer Sanders indicated that \$100,000 from this fiscal year may be transferred to the cash reserve account. Next fiscal year would then have a proposed budget of \$50,000 for Paint Water Tower.

The Backhoe item had a proposed budget this year of \$40,000. That amount was now proposed to go into the Backhoe Reserve. Next fiscal year, the backhoe could be purchased at an estimated \$90,000.

City Treasurer Sanders finished with the recap portion of the presentation. He noted that this fiscal year, with Revenue Over/Under Expenditures, would leave the City negative \$68,412. Next fiscal year, without a rate increase, would leave the City negative \$469,793. The Treasurer pointed out that of the negative \$469,793, a sizeable portion of that would be caused by the East Main Street Watermain Replacement project.

Mayor Vaughn requested clarification on the Main St. Water Main line item. The water main had a life expectancy of 50-75 years. City Treasurer Sanders reported that \$610,000 was to be the total for the project, including a \$300,000 grant. Seventy-five thousand dollars was received from the grant in the 2013-2014 fiscal year. Two hundred twenty-five thousand dollars from the grant would be expected in fiscal year 2014-2015. By the end of fiscal year 2015, the total deficit would jump by a negative \$401,381. The Treasurer went on to explain that there would potentially be some other offsets that could help the deficit such as the likelihood of increased utility income and not spending funds on an air scour system.

11-B “Sewer Fund” The Council was provided with a copy of the powerpoint presentation outlining the proposed budget for fiscal year 2014-2015 for Sewer Operations and Maintenance. Sewer Department Supervisor Tony Steber was present to answer any questions. City Treasurer Sanders presented that the department’s largest revenue items came from Utility Income, with no increase in rates, and Loan Proceeds for the North St. Lift Station. For the project, the City expected to borrow 100% of the funds, other than some of the engineering expense. The loan for the project had been approved, but no funds had yet been drawn. In the Capital Outlay expenditure, \$598,358 in disbursements for year 2014-2015 were expected.

Councilman Ferguson wanted more details on Custom Sludge Hauling. Mr. Steber explained that was for hiring a professional company to come and haul out all sludge at a rate of \$.01 per gallon. Some of the sludge would be applied to fields. The Custom Sludge Hauling would be expected to be needed every other year. The first year would be the largest year as the lagoons had never before been fully cleaned out.

11-C “Tourism Fund” The Council was provided with a copy of the powerpoint presentation outlining the proposed budget for fiscal year 2014-2015 for Tourism Promotion. City Clerk Henton was present to answer any questions. City Treasurer Sanders presented that the Tourism Promotion funds came from bank interest and also generated funds from hotel and motel tax. The current tax rate was 4%. The Revenues and Expenditures were expected to balance.

Councilman Brown asked why there was such an increase from the 2013-2014 year to the 2014-2015 for the Tourism Promotion line item. The increased revenue from Hotel/Motel Tax allowed for additional funds to be used in the line item. City Clerk Henton also mentioned that Olney's Dodransbicentennial (175 year) anniversary was approaching in 2016 and some of the Tourism Promotion item funds could begin to be used for purchases to support the celebration. She also mentioned that \$10,000 was a beginning allotment for Olney's Sesquicentennial (150 year) celebration.

Adding onto the 2014-2015 budget discussion, City Manager Taylor and City Treasurer Sanders went on to discuss potential water and sewer rate increases. The Council was provided with copies of the City's current water and sewer rates by tier as dictated by ordinances past and present, a 2010 water and sewer survey from the City of Carbondale, Olney's current water and sewer rates in comparison with other communities, City of Olney's water and sewer rates Options 1 - 3, and the City of Olney's expected water and sewer rates, based on 6,000 gallons of usage, for year 2016-2017 as projected through Option 3.

Three options were prepared for the increase of water and sewer rates to include labor cost, annual estimated inflation, and capital spending reserve for the next three years. There were already dollars in the Sewer Department for Capital Spending and/or Debt Service. City Treasurer Sanders mentioned that none of the three options were particularly recommended, but were created as a means to start conversation.

The basic idea of Option 1 was the implementation of 100% of the labor related costs in the first year. Water and sewer rates would have a 12.9% increase and the second and third years gave a reasonable estimate for inflation at 2.75% in water and 2.25% in sewer.

The basic idea of Option 2 would be to phase in labor related costs for water and sewer over the next 3 years at an equal percent increase for all tiers. The sewer rate would be all one rate, no matter which tier the customer belonged. The first year percentage would be greater and next two years would have a lesser increase. Water rates in this option would be increased by 8.75% and sewer at 7.75%. Years 2 and 3 would have increases of 4.75% in water and 3% in sewer. At the end of the 3 years, all costs would be recovered.

Option 3 would be a hybrid of Options 1 and 2. This option assumes that the City would implement 100% of the water increase in the first 3 years. The upper tiers would have a lesser percentage increase in the first year, but would make up the increases in years 2 and 3. This option would also have the sewer rate increase brought in at 100% in the first year with inflation accounted for in the second and third years.

Councilman McLaughlin expressed his concern that with the high-usage commercial users, these slight percentages could actually be quite costly to the businesses. City Manager

Taylor replied that spreading the increases out over a few years would help to cushion those businesses. Also, another possibility that was not reflected in the printed examples would be to add a sixth tier. A sixth tier would provide some relief, depending on the starting point. Within tiers, the top tier held three or four businesses. The next tier held approximately six more businesses. City Treasurer Sanders informed that in order to be classified in the top tier, the consumer would need to use over 1.2 million gallons of water in one year.

The Mayor mentioned that the costs reflected in the potential water and sewer rates stemmed from not increasing property taxes. City Treasurer Sanders also explained that all options included labor related costs, the ability to create a reserve for capital spending or debt service in the Water Department. At the end of the 3 years, under the options provided, about \$150,000 to \$160,000 per year would be set aside in the reserve.

Mayor Vaughn asked how, at the end of year three, Olney would compare to other communities. According to the handout and based off of Option 3, the City would go from \$53.11, based on 6,000 gallons of usage, to \$57.79. That total, compared to current rates from surrounding communities, would still put Olney as the second lowest in price.

City Manager Taylor and City Treasurer Sanders were open to ideas and comments from the Council. The Treasurer then mentioned that if it seemed phasing in the increase was favorable, he could also create an additional option to compare at a future meeting. The Council agreed that they would like to see that additional option. Councilman Ferguson also mentioned that rates have not changed since 2009.

In regard to an additional option, Mayor Vaughn asked if a model could be created reflecting increases from Option 2 for everyone except those in the top tiers. City Treasurer Sanders said he could create that. In addition, combining features from Options 2 and 3 would be of interest to the Council.

The Mayor made clear that the City had one chance at a rate increase. He felt it would be too difficult to change now and then decide an additional increase would be needed in the near future. He wanted to be sure that the City was looking far enough into the future for the potential rate changes to be justified. City Manager Taylor recommended that the Council periodically look at the rates to be sure all expenses were covered; particularly capital expenditures.

Mayor Vaughn wanted to consider the rates during the time until the next Council meeting. City Manager Taylor would provide additional information for the next meeting.

AGENDA #11 “CLOSED SESSION: SALE PRICE OF REAL PROPERTY AND APPOINTMENT, EMPLOYMENT AND PERFORMANCE OF SPECIFIC EMPLOYEES AND COLLECTIVE NEGOTIATING MATTERS” Councilman McLaughlin moved to adjourn

to closed session to discuss the sale price of real property, appointment, employment and performance of specific employees and collective negotiating matters, seconded by Councilman Brown. A majority affirmative voice vote was received.

Councilmen Brown, Ferguson, McLaughlin, Councilwoman Thomas, Mayor Vaughn, City Manager Taylor, City Treasurer Sanders, City Clerk Henton and Deputy City Clerk Sterchi left the Council Chambers at 9:15 p.m.

AGENDA #12 “RECONVENE OPEN SESSION” Upon the return of those who were in closed session to the Council Chambers, Councilman McLaughlin moved to enter back into open session, seconded by Councilwoman Thomas. A majority affirmative voice vote was received. Open session resumed at 10:56 p.m.

AGENDA #13 “RECONVENE OPEN SESSION” No action was taken as a result of the closed session.

13-A “Resolution: Release Closed Session Minutes” This item was removed from the agenda.

13-B “Resolution: Authorize Destruction of Audio Recording of Closed Session Minutes” This item was removed from the agenda

AGENDA #14 “ADJOURN” Mayor Vaughn moved to adjourn, seconded by Councilwoman Thomas. A majority affirmative voice vote was received.

The meeting of the City Council adjourned at 10:57 p.m.

Kelsie J. Sterchi
Deputy City Clerk